

information corresponding to a newly detected second recording medium, to said subscriber information management apparatus". An example of the recording media is a SIM card. An example of the subscriber identity information is an IMSI or TMSI. An example of the subscriber information management apparatus is an HLR or a VLR. As further recited in Claims 1 and 7, the subscriber information management apparatus receives the "first subscriber identity information and [the] second subscriber identity information from [a] mobile terminal". Furthermore, as recited in Claims 1, 7 and 9, and registers "location area information of [the] first subscriber identity information as location area information associated with [the] second subscriber identity information". These features are supported in the specification at, for example, Figure 9, and Page 9, lines 1-24.

Young does disclose the handsets 2a, 2b that may comprise the smart card reader 33 receiving a smart card (SIM) 35 storing user information (see Fig. 2, Col. 7, Lines 1-12). Young also discloses the store 54 that acts somewhat in the manner of the Home Location Register (HLR) of a GSM terrestrial cellular system, and the store 48 that acts somewhat in the manner of the Visiting Location Register (VLR) of GSM (see Young, Col. 12, Lines 36-42).

However, Young does not disclose that the "smart card" reader 33 receives a second smart card (SIM) 35 storing second user information. Young, of course, does not disclose handsets 2a, 2b that, when the handsets 2a, 2b detect a second smart card 35, transmit first user information corresponding to the first smart card 35 and second user information corresponding to a newly detected the second smart card 35, to the store 54, 48. Further, Young does not disclose that the store 54, 48 receive the first user information and second user information from the handsets 2a, 2b. Therefore, the handsets 2a, 2b and the store 54, 48 of Young do not

correctly correspond to the mobile terminal and the subscriber information management apparatus of any of Claims 1, 6, 7 and 9.

For at least these reasons, Claims 1, 6, 7 and 9 are not anticipated by Young. Claims 2-5 depend from Claim 1, and are thus not anticipated by Young for at least the reasons provided for Claim 1.

Claims 8 and 10 each recite, amongst other things, a mobile terminal that has at least two telephone numbers. However, Young does not disclose that the handsets 2a, 2b have at least two telephone numbers. Furthermore, Young does not disclose that the store 54, 48 manages communication state of the handset having at least two telephone numbers. Therefore, Claims 8 and 10 are likewise not anticipated by Young for at least this reason.

Accordingly, Claims 1-10 are not anticipated by Young, and withdrawal of the 35 U.S.C. 102(e) rejection is thereby respectfully requested. In the event that the Examiner finds remaining impediment to a prompt allowance of this application that may be clarified through a telephone interview, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned attorney.

Dated this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of June, 2005.

Respectfully submitted,



ADRIAN J. LEE  
Registration No. 42,785  
Attorney for Applicant  
Customer No. 022913